



Heritage Committee
Monday December 3, 2018
7:00 p.m.
Council Chambers, Aberfoyle

AGENDA

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest
3. Opening Remarks
4. Approval of Minutes – October 1, 2018
5. Regular Business
 - 5.1. 2017-2018 Puslinch Heritage Committee Report to Council
 - 5.2 Municipal Register Property review and forward planning
 - 5.3 Summer student position, 2019
 - 5.4 Heritage Impact Assessment Guidelines
 - 5.5 Property at 6658 Forestall Road
 - 5.6 Doors Open 2019
 - 5.7 Documenting and acknowledging aboriginal sites and heritage in Puslinch
 - 5.8 Opportunities for interpretive panels in the community
 - 5.9 Review of future properties to be plaqued (eg – 52 Brock Rd.)
 - 5.10 Cenotaph Anniversary
 - 5.11 Council Orientation
 - 5.12 Proposed Heritage Plaque to recognize the Black Family contributions to the grounds at the Community Centre
 - 5.13 Minor Variance for Comment
 - Davis D13/DAV – 4767 Wellington Road 32
 - No Heritage comments
6. Adjournment



Heritage Committee
Monday October 1, 2018
7:00 p.m.
Council Chambers, Aberfoyle

MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mary Tivy – Chair
Barb Jefferson
John Levak
Councillor Matthew Bulmer

MEMBERS ABSENT

John Arnold, regrets

TOWNSHIP STAFF

Karen Landry, CAO/Clerk
Lynne Banks – Development & Legislative Coordinator

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. **DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST**

None

3. **OPENING REMARKS**

The Chair made opening remarks noting the items on the agenda for the evening.

4. **APPROVAL/ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

Moved by: John Levak, Seconded by: Barb Jefferson

That the minutes of the Heritage Committee meeting dated June 4, 2018 be adopted.

CARRIED

5. **REGULAR BUSINESS**

1. CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES FOR HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: REVIEW FOR ADOPTION (SEE ATTACHMENT IN JUNE 4 AGENDA PACKAGE)

- The Committee would like to utilize the Heritage Impact Assessments developed by the City of Waterloo.
- Councillor Matthew Bulmer to work with County Planning staff to sum up with wording to allow an “opt in” or “opt out” option for the Heritage Impact Assessment for consents, minor variances and site alterations.
- The committee would like to have a County planner attend a future Heritage Committee meeting.

2. SUMMER FIELD VISIT REPORT

- John Levak provided a recap of the summer field trip and the properties that were visited.

- Barb Jefferson is to follow up with the property owners of 4856 Sideroad 10 regarding recognition of the heritage landscape attributes.
3. PLAQUES AND PLAQUING PROGRAM
 - Mary Tivy spoke to John Gilmore regarding issues with the black paint peeling off of the plaques.
 - Committee would like to have the Township retrieve the molds from John
 - Future properties to be plaqued to be discussed at the next meeting, e.g. 52 Brock Road.
 4. UPDATE ON MUNICIPAL REGISTER REVIEW
 - All members who were assigned a specific group of properties will cross check them with the Heritage List developed by Kelly Patzer.
 5. HERITAGE COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT TO COUNCIL
 - Mary Tivy to send the Annual Report to Karen Landry and committee members and it will be put on the next Committee agenda for discussion.
 6. PUSLINCH PIONEER ARTICLES
 - Barb Jefferson to prepare an article on local cemeteries for the 2nd week of November.
 - John Levak will prepare an article for the March 2019 edition.
 - Councillor Bulmer will prepare an article on railroad underpasses for a future edition.
 7. 2019 SUMMER STUDENT – BASE BUDGET INCREASE REQUEST
 - Karen Landry will circulate the Base Budget Increase Request to the committee for review at the December committee meeting.
 - Karen Landry noted that the committee needs to summarize the tasks to be completed by a summer student.
 8. OUTREACH
 - Mary Tivy advised she hosted an exhibit for the Heritage Committee on Canada Day.
 - The committee would like to have a permanent exhibit at the library (possibly).
 - Would like to do a “Doors Open” event for 2019 to raise awareness to heritage properties in the community.
 - Future possible walking tour of Morriston.
 - Interpretive panels on heritage properties.
 9. MUNICIPAL ELECTION
 - Mary Tivy advised that the All Candidates Meeting is being held on October 4, 2018 at 7:00 p.m.
 - Mary Tivy requested that Council orientation be listed on the next agenda.
 10. ZONING AMENDMENTS FOR COMMENT
 - Davis - D13/DAV – 4767 Wellington Road 32
 - Prior - D13/PRI – 48 Bridle Path
No Heritage comments for either file.
 11. INFORMATION ITEMS
 - (a) Puslinch Historical Society
 - Barb Jefferson advised that the speaker provided an overview of Biltmore hats.
 - Next meeting will be November 20th-presentation on the early mills of Puslinch at 8:00p.m. at the library.
 - Diaries of Duncan MacFarlane are now digitalized and available online.
 - (b) Logo and Brand Steering Committee

- Final presentation to occur in December 2018.
- (c) Puslinch Barns
 - Spreadsheet of Puslinch Barns is being prepared and Mary Tivy will forward to the committee once it is complete.
- (d) Heritage Correspondence
 - Mary Tivy noted she continues to respond to inquiries regarding the history of a property.

12. COMMITTEE MEETING SCHEDULE

- November 5th meeting is rescheduled to December 3rd at 7:00 p.m.
- 2019 Schedule of Meeting dates:
 - Monday, February 4th at 10:00 a.m.
 - Monday June 3rd at 10:00 a.m.
 - Monday October 7, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.

6. **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 8:46 p.m.

7. **NEXT MEETING**

December 3rd @ 7 p.m.



REPORT ADM-2019-001

DRAFT

TO: Mayor and Members of Council

FROM: Mary Tivy, Chair – Puslinch Heritage Committee

DATE: January 9, 2019

SUBJECT: Puslinch Heritage Committee Report 2017-2018

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Report ADM-2019-001 regarding Puslinch Heritage Committee Report 2017-2018 be received.

DISCUSSION

Township of Puslinch Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee Report to Council, December 2018.

The Township of Puslinch Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee is a Committee appointed by Council for the purpose of conserving properties of cultural heritage value or interest in the Township and operates under the following legislative and policy guidelines:

1. Enabling Legislation: Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. 0.18

Section 28 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* states that:

a municipality may establish a heritage committee to advise and assist the Council on all matters relating to the conservation of property of cultural heritage value or interest and heritage conservation districts and such other heritage matters as the Council may specify by by-law.

Under the *Ontario Heritage Act*, a local Council may pass by-laws to:

- a) Designate individual properties of cultural heritage value or interest, in accordance with the criteria set out in Ontario Regulation 9/06. Such a by-law shall include a description of the property and a statement of cultural heritage value or interest and description of the heritage attributes;
- b) Designate a Heritage Conservation District or Districts in order to protect the heritage resources of an area. Such a by-law will be based on a study identifying the heritage resources of the area. If a by-law is passed to identify a Heritage Conservation District, the designated area may be recognized by an amendment to the Plan.

Designated heritage properties and heritage conservation districts shall be listed in a register of properties kept by the municipal clerk. The register may also include properties that Council considers to be of cultural heritage value or interest but have not been designated.

Heritage Committees

A Heritage Committee will advise and assist Council on cultural heritage resource matters as set out by the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

Other Municipal Authority:

- a) A local Council may pass by-laws providing for the acquisition by purchase, lease, or otherwise of any property or part thereof, designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. A local Council may dispose by sale, lease or otherwise of any property or interest acquired under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act upon such terms and conditions as Council may consider necessary.
- b) Pursuant to the Planning Act, the Municipal Act and other relevant legislation, a local Council may pass by-laws for the following purposes:
 - i) to ensure the protection of heritage features;
 - ii) to regulate development so that it is sympathetic in height, bulk, location and character to heritage resources;
 - iii) to control demolition of heritage buildings or structures in a defined area.
- c) A local Council may enter into an easement agreement or covenant, pursuant to Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act, with the owner of any real property and register such easement or covenant against the real property in the land registry office for the purpose of:
 - i) conserving, protecting and preserving the heritage features of the property;

- ii) preventing any demolition, construction, alteration, remodeling or any other action which would adversely affect the heritage features of the property;
 - iii) establishing criteria for the approval of any development affecting the heritage property.
- d) A local Council will use its best efforts to obtain, in consultation with the Heritage Committee, documentation for archival purposes which may include a history, photographic record and measured drawings, of cultural heritage resources which are to be demolished or significantly altered.

2. County of Wellington Official Plan: May 6, 1999 (Last Revision June 1, 2018)

The following policies apply throughout the County of Wellington for the conservation of cultural heritage resources:

CULTURAL HERITAGE and ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Cultural heritage and archaeological resources form an important and in many cases highly visible part of the community fabric. These resources are a source of civic pride for the residents, a benefit to the local economy through tourism, and are important to our understanding of the settlement of the County. The policies of this Plan, in conjunction with the Ontario Heritage Act, provide a framework for the protection and enhancement of cultural heritage resources in Wellington County

Built Heritage

Wellington County has a rich history reflected in many buildings and structures, either individually or in groups, which are considered to be architecturally or historically significant to the community, county, province or country.

Cultural Heritage Landscapes

A cultural heritage landscape is a defined geographical area of heritage significance which has been modified by human activities and is valued by a community. It involves a grouping(s) of individual heritage features such as structures, spaces, archaeological sites and natural elements, which together form a significant type of heritage form, distinctive from that of its constituent elements or parts. Examples may include, but are not limited to, heritage conservation districts designated under the Ontario Heritage Act, and villages, parks, gardens, battlefields, mainstreets and neighbourhoods, cemeteries, trailways and industrial complexes of cultural heritage value.

For cultural heritage landscapes to be significant, they must be valued for the important contribution they make to our understanding of a place, an event, or a people.

Archaeological Resources

The past settlement of Wellington forms an important aspect of our community. Traces of human settlement both recent and long past are recognized as important elements of our history and culture. Archaeological resources include a property or area recognized by the Province as being archaeologically significant.

Identifying Cultural Heritage Resources

Cultural heritage resources include, but are not necessarily restricted to the following criteria under Ontario Regulations 9/06 issued under the Ontario Heritage Act.

- a) A property has design value or physical value because it:
 - i) is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method,
 - ii) displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit, or
 - iii) demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.
- b) A property has historical value or associative value because it:
 - i) has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community,
 - ii) yields, or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture, or
 - iii) demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community.
- c) A property has contextual value because it:
 - i) is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area,
 - ii) is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings, or
 - iii) is a landmark.

Heritage Areas

This Plan may identify a Heritage Area for the purposes of regulating land use under the Planning Act.

It is the policy of this Plan that any development, redevelopment or public work shall respect the goals and objectives relating to the protection and enhancement of heritage resources. Development projects requiring planning approval which are of a size, scale or character not in keeping with the surrounding heritage resources shall not be allowed. The Heritage Area is broadly defined and contains many buildings which are not heritage resources. The intent of the Heritage Area is to identify an area in which a significant

number of buildings contain heritage values and to ensure proper consideration is given to protecting these buildings when development proposals are put forward. A Heritage Area is not a Heritage Conservation District under the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

Policy Direction

- a) significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved. Conserved means the identification, protection, use and/or management of cultural heritage and archeological resources in such a way that their heritage values, attributes and integrity are retained. This may be addressed through a conservation plan or heritage impact assessment in accordance with Section 4.6.7.
- b) The need for a Heritage Impact Assessment and/or Conservation plan will be based on the heritage attributes or reasons for which the resource is identified as significant, and will normally be identified in pre-consultation on development applications.
- c) Wellington County will work with its local municipalities to identify significant cultural heritage landscapes. The identification of significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be implemented through at least one of the following options:
 - i) Added to an Official Plan through an Amendment that shows the resource as an overlay designation on the Schedule, and adds site-specific policies where needed;
 - ii) included in the municipal register of properties that Council considers to be of cultural heritage value or interest but have not been designated;
 - iii) Designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- d) The need for a Heritage Impact Assessment
- e) Wellington will encourage the conservation of significant built heritage resources through heritage designations and planning policies which protect these resources.
- f) The re-use of heritage buildings is often a valid means of ensuring their restoration, enhancement or future maintenance. Projects to re-use heritage buildings may be given favourable consideration if the overall results are to ensure the long term protection of a heritage resource and the project is compatible with surrounding land uses and represents an appropriate use of land.
- g) Where a property has been identified as a protected heritage property, development and site alteration may be permitted on adjacent lands where the proposed development and site alteration has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that the heritage attributes of the protected heritage property will be conserved. Mitigative measures and/or alternative development approaches may be required in order to conserve the heritage

attributes of the protected heritage property affected by the adjacent development or site alteration.

- h) The County recognizes the important cultural significance of the Grand River as a Canadian Heritage River, and the need to conserve its inherent values.
- i) Where development and site alteration is allowed, significant archaeological resources must be conserved. Such resources will be conserved through removal, and documentation, or preservation on site. Where significant archaeological resources must be preserved on site, development and site alteration will only be allowed if the heritage integrity of the site is maintained.
- j) Where the County has determined a proposed development has areas of archaeological potential, an assessment of the property will be required to identify archaeological resources. Resources identified and determined to be significant will be conserved. The County may also require parts of a site to be excluded from development in order to maintain the heritage integrity of the site.
- k) The County or local municipality may develop an archaeological master plan to be used as a planning tool where addressing archaeological conservation concerns. The principal components of the master plan would be:
 - i) an inventory of all registered and known archaeological sites in the County;
 - ii) archaeological potential mapping based on locally relevant criteria;
 - iii) implementation guidelines for use of the master plan and management of the area's historical heritage.

Puslinch Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee Members:

Mary Tivy, Chair, John Levak, Vice-Chair, Barb Jefferson, John Arnold, Matthew Bulmer, Township of Puslinch Councilor, Cameron Tuck (resigned December 2017)

2. MANDATE

The primary function of the Heritage Committee is to advise Council and make recommendations on heritage designations, applications for repeal of designations, applications for alterations, and/or removal/demolition of Part IV and Part V properties under the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

Deliverables:

The Committee will accomplish its mandate by:

1. Providing comments to Council on all heritage applications.

2. Commenting on various development applications that may impact existing or potential heritage properties or districts when required.
3. Commenting on demolition permits that apply to heritage legislation.
4. Recording sites of heritage significance that are worthy of preservation, and awarding heritage plaques.
5. Recording historical information related to properties with heritage significance.
6. Promoting public awareness of Puslinch's heritage.
7. Discussing concerns raised by the public and staff.

Deliverables: 2017 – 2018

1. Providing Comments to Council on all Heritage Applications:

1.1 Heritage Applications: A Municipal Register of Heritage Properties

Section 27 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* requires each municipality to keep a Municipal Register of Heritage Properties. The Municipal Register of Cultural Heritage Resources is an administrative and documentation tool used to assist in identification and ongoing preservation of significant heritage resources within the land use planning and permit application process. It is also used to set priorities for subsequent heritage designations under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. As such, the Register represents basic public acknowledgement of the contribution of specific heritage resources to the rich cultural history of Puslinch Township. These properties may be designated under Part IV or Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, or may be non-designated properties that are considered to have heritage significance. Because previous Heritage Committees have not brought forward recommendations for the designation of properties in the Township to Council, Puslinch has passed neither Part IV nor Part V designation by-laws under the *Ontario Heritage Act*. Thus no heritage applications have been made to the Township under this legislation. Nor has the Township offered grants to heritage property owners for the Committee to assess. The new Community Improvement Plan funding may be applied for façade improvements for heritage properties on the Register.

Creating an official register of these properties for the Township of Puslinch has been a major goal of the current Heritage Committee in the last two years and this work is still in progress. To meet this requirement, Committee members have reviewed and updated information on approximately 175 properties currently listed on the Township's internal Prinsys inventory of heritage properties. This extensive project is in final review with the assistance of Township planning staff.

The Approval Process for the Municipal Register

Properties will only be listed in the Register after undergoing: a) field assessment; b) research and documentation; c) criteria evaluation; d) endorsement of Township Council.

Assessment of Heritage Value

Every potential heritage resource holds certain attributes and characteristics that reflect a degree of cultural heritage value or interest. Before being added to the Municipal Register, the cultural heritage value of a given property must be assessed. The

Committee has adopted a set of provincially regulated criteria under the Ontario Heritage Act, Reg.09/06, that determines overall merit and significance within the following categories:

- Design or physical value; and/or
- Historical or associative value; and/or
- Contextual value.

1.2 Providing Comments to Council: Committee Expertise

Committee members continue to pursue professional development opportunities to provide best practices for a municipal heritage advisory committee and to meet the deliverables identified in the mandate of the Puslinch Heritage Committee. With funding assistance from the Township, three committee members attended the Ontario Heritage Conference Ottawa, June 7-10, 2017. "Canada 150: The Past, Present and Future of Heritage Conservation." In addition Committee members routinely participate in the Grand River Conservation Authority's Heritage Day Conferences/Workshops including the GRCA Heritage Day Workshop February 15-17, 2017: "History and Heritage of the Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation", and February 2018: "Heritage Makes \$ense" (reports on these conferences and workshops are in "Appendix A")

Committee Chair, Mary Tivy is a member of the board of The Alliance for Historic Landscape Preservation: *Conserving Cultural Landscapes* and attended annual conferences in Calgary, Alberta, 2017; and Tucson Arizona, 2018. (Self-funded).

Committee Chair, Mary Tivy, also sits as Chair of the City of Guelph Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee. She has 40 years of experience in the cultural heritage field.

2. Commenting on various development applications that may impact existing or potential heritage properties or districts when required.

The Committee routinely comments on various development applications that may impact existing or potential heritage properties or districts. Due to deadline requirements for some applications that have no impact, the Chair will respond on behalf of the Committee when the schedule of Committee meetings does not allow for a timely response.

2.1 Heritage Impact Assessment: Calfas/Stewart Farmhouse

The Committee reviewed and provided guidelines and recommendations to go forward with conservation of the character-defining elements of the heritage property at 66 Queen Street South, Morriston. This report was the first Heritage Impact Assessment required by, and submitted to, the Township of Puslinch, as a condition of subdivision approval, under advisement by this Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee.

2.2 Terms of Reference for Heritage Impact Assessment Studies

In order to assist future consultants preparing Heritage Impact Assessments for the Township, the Committee recommends the adoption of standard terms of reference for such studies. Since neither the Township of Puslinch nor the County of Wellington currently has Terms of Reference for the preparation of a Heritage Impact Assessment,

the Heritage Committee researched those terms of reference used in other jurisdictions and recommended adoption of the guidelines used by the City of Waterloo. The Committee will submit these guidelines to Council in the winter/spring of 2019.

3. Commenting on demolition permits that apply to heritage legislation.

Listed Properties and Demolition Control

Currently the Township's "Prinsys" internal list of properties identified by the Committee provides some measure of demolition control by alerting the Heritage Committee to such applications, but it is not an official Register of Heritage Properties, and does not have a legislative protocol for demolition control. The *Ontario Heritage Act* helps protect Section 27 (1.2) "listed" properties through interim demolition control. Section 27(3) of the Ontario Heritage Act states that the owner of a property included in the Heritage Register under Section 27 (1.2) shall not demolish or remove a building or structure unless the owner gives Council at least 60 days notice in writing of the owner's intention to demolish. Section 27(5) states that the notice of intention to demolish shall be accompanied by such plans and shall set out such information as the Council may require. Under this provision, the Township may request a Heritage Impact Assessment and/or mitigation plan. This provision is used to ensure appropriate due diligence is completed prior to the approval of demolition permits.

4. Recording sites of heritage significance that are worthy of preservation, and awarding heritage plaques.

As part of the process of compiling the Municipal Register of Heritage Properties, the Committee has recorded and reviewed approximately 175 properties of heritage significance through research, and also conducted field trips to the properties listed below.

- 7421 Wellington Rd. 34
- 4599 Sideroad 20 N.
- 4856 Sideroad 10
- 18 Victoria St. Morriston
- 880 Victoria Road S.
- 1867 Puslinch Township Hall, and Cassin Farmstead that had been removed and relocated at the Country Heritage Park Museum, Milton
- 6926 Wellington Road 34
- 4092 Sideroad 25
- 6990 Wellington Road 34
- 7150 Concession 1, Puslinch
- Lot 9 and 10, 5th Concession
- 66 Queen Street South, Morriston
- Lot 33, Concession 7.

Most property owners of heritage properties in the Township are proud of their stewardship and appreciate the support and recognition of the Puslinch Heritage Committee during these visits. Reports on these field visits are attached in "Appendix B"

5. Recording historical information related to properties with heritage significance.

This archival, field visit and interview work is done as part of the process of recording sites of heritage significance that are worthy of preservation and awarding heritage plaques. In addition a separate research project of historical information on extant barns in Puslinch Township is being compiled.

6. Promoting public awareness of Puslinch's heritage

6.1 Township Website:

The Township has several pages compiled by the Puslinch Heritage Committee on its mandate and activities. Over the next year, the Committee's goal is to update the website and provide images and GIS mapping of plaqued heritage properties, with the assistance of a summer student.

6.2 Puslinch Pioneer Column

Puslinch Heritage Committee members write a Puslinch Heritage Matters column in each Puslinch Pioneer on significant heritage properties in Puslinch and their stewardship by current owners. Preparation of each column involves meeting with property owners and supporting their efforts.

6.3 Exhibits

The Committee also presents an exhibit on Heritage Properties and Indigenous Peoples in Puslinch for the Township Canada Day celebrations.

6.4 Future Outreach

Planning for an annual Doors Open day of heritage properties is underway.

7. Discussing concerns raised by the public and staff

Through exhibits, responses to the website or Pioneer articles, field visits and correspondence, Committee members provide information and advice as requested to heritage property owners regarding their property's history and restoration best practices, as well as funding for restoration and repair. The Chair routinely responds to requests concerning demolished or extant heritage properties or other queries on cultural heritage resources in Puslinch Township. Community members have indicated a desire to have the historical information on plaqued and identified sites of heritage value made accessible through the Township's website.

**TOWNSHIP OF PUSLINCH
2019 OPERATING BUDGET**

2019 REQUESTED BASE BUDGET INCREASES

Department Building and Planning

Priority Yes

1 - Purpose of Expenditure

To have one summer student on a 12 week program through Canada Works to assist the Municipal Heritage Advisory committee to meet their deliverables by digitizing the inventory of plaqued heritage sites, and other sites of heritage significance for the Township website for public access.

2 - Need

This is an important task for future planning purposes and for raising awareness of the Township's rich built heritage and agricultural and village heritage landscapes that are a significant component of the Township identity. Presently this information can only be accessed by consulting the catalogue binders in the Township Administration Office.

3 - Benefit of the Investment

As the City of Guelph proceeds with intensive urban development plans to the Puslinch boundary at Maltby Road, and as the proposed Highway 6 by-pass is scheduled to begin in 2019, a publically accessible inventory of the Township's heritage buildings and landscapes will assist residents in positive identification and engagement with their community. There is an economic benefit so far as this inventory with maps and photos will provide visitors and tourists with information on heritage properties in the Township, and will identify those properties that have been adaptively reused for public use as stores, restaurants, etc.

4 - Risk Assessment

None

5 - Financial Impact

One-time request for 2019 Budget only?

Revenues Earned/Reserves Utilized

	Revenues	Reserves	Balance in Reserves (as applicable)
	\$0	N/A	N/A
Total Revenues/Reserves	\$0	\$0	\$0

Expenses Incurred

Total Expenses	\$0	

Net Expense/(Revenue) **\$0**

- Furniture/Fixtures Required for new staff?
- Computer Required for new staff?
- Fleet Vehicle Required for new staff?

Lynne Banks

From: Karen Landry
Sent: November-27-18 10:06 AM
To: Lynne Banks
Subject: FW: Cenetaph anniversary

From: Dennis Lever
Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2018 3:08 PM
To: Barb Jefferson <bjeffers@uoguelph.ca>; Matthew Bulmer <mbulmer@puslinch.ca>; Nina Lecic <nlecic@puslinch.ca>; J.M. Arnold <j.m.arnold1943@gmail.com>; John Levak <jlevak@live.ca>; Mary Tivy <mary.tivy@gmail.com>
Cc: Courtenay Hoytfox <choytfox@puslinch.ca>; Karen Landry <KLandry@puslinch.ca>; Ken Roth <kroth@puslinch.ca>; John Sepulis <jsepulis@puslinch.ca>
Subject: Cenetaph anniversary

Hi,

At yesterday's Council meeting, I read out the following news items that Karen Wagner had sent to me regarding our Cenotaph and a bit of the history.

As 2020 will be the 100'th anniversary of the landmark, I suggested that the Council of the day celebrate this in some fashion and Councillor Bulmer asked that I forward this on to the committee as well.

Of particular note is the connection to Guelph and Puslinch Lake.

Fergus News-Record, 19 June 1919

Puslinch Township Will Erect Soldier Memorial

At a largely attended meeting of residents of Puslinch Township, held in the Town Hall at Aberfoyle, it was decided to accept the offer of a Kitchener firm to erect a granite memorial to the soldiers from Puslinch Township who made the supreme sacrifice on the field of Flanders and France. The design chosen is a massive pedestal with the figure of a Canadian soldier on the top, and promises to be a very artistic monument. The offer of a site for the memorial at the Puslinch Lake, made by the City Council of Guelph, was turned down and the monument will be erected on a hill in the centre of the Fair Grounds at Aberfoyle, that being considered the most suitable and appropriate place. Another motion that was carried, and which shows the people of Puslinch Township appreciate the service rendered by their soldier sons, was to present to every returned soldier with a gold watch. There are about 100 men who will receive the gift.

Fergus News-Record, 10 June 1920

Puslinch Erects Monument to Fallen Soldiers

The monument erected by Puslinch township to commemorate the soldiers from the community who has been killed in the Great War was unveiled at Aberfoyle on June 3rd, the ceremony being conducted by Ren. Mrs. Buckland, M.P.P., the member of the Legislature for South Wellington. The monument is a very handsome and substantial one. It is built of solid granite, stands about twenty feet high from the bottom of the base to the top of the figure on top, and is erected on a rising piece

of ground almost in the centre of the fair grounds at Aberfoyle, and faces the road. It is an imposing structure and a credit alike to the citizens of the township and the manufactures. It contains the names of several young men of Puslinch township who made the supreme sacrifice.

Best regards,

Dennis

Dennis Lever
Warden, County of Wellington
www.wellington.ca
Mayor, Township of Puslinch
www.puslinch.ca
226-971-2067

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The content of the message may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient you are notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or modification of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, advising of the error and delete this message without making a copy. (Information related to this email is automatically monitored and recorded and the content may be required to be disclosed by the Township to a third party in certain circumstances).

Andrea Norton
38 Park Trail
Midhurst, ON
L9X 0P6

RECEIVED

AUG 07 2018

Township of Puslinch

Dear Council Members:

**Mayor Dennis Lever, Councillor Bulmer, Councillor Fielding, Councillor Roth,
Councillor Sepulis**

I am writing on behalf of my father, John Gilmour, a lifelong resident of Puslinch Township and a descendant of many of its founding families. He was pleased to hear that a recreational path named for councillor and avid runner, the late Wayne Stokely, was opened last year on land once belonging to the Blacks and the Ords, two early Puslinch families. I understand it meanders quite pleasantly along Mill Creek and through the former Black/Ord homestead. He recalls many pleasant days playing near that creek when he visited his grandparents and later, his Uncle Alex Ord.

My father would appreciate a sign somewhere along the path acknowledging these two founding families. Such a sign would help to honour the past while still respecting the contributions of more recent times. Below is a brief history illustrating how closely Puslinch Township's history is entwined with these two families.

The Blacks settled in Puslinch on the land which now houses the Community Centre, the library and sports fields. In 1843, John Black purchased Lot 21, in the rear of the 7th Concession. Lot 20, in the rear of the 7th Concession was acquired from the Crown Lands Office in Elora, Ontario on April 7th, 1852. Three and a half acres of that farm was sold to the Puslinch Town Council in 1867 for the site of a town hall and an agricultural fairground. The rest of the farm remained in the Black/Ord family for more than 150 years.

The Blacks were very active in the community and contributed greatly to the growth of this area. John Black, a mason, built many of the stone buildings in Guelph. Jannet Black inherited the Black family homestead and married John A. Ord, who had come to Puslinch in 1850. The Ord family continued the tradition of community service.

Jannet and John A. Ord's son, Alex Ord was the Clerk of Puslinch Township from 1933 until 1955. He spoke for the community upon the opening of the "new" school in Aberfoyle in 1958. He was the Master of Ceremonies when the ribbon was cut to open the Community Centre on September 7, 1981.

Other local descendants of these families include the late Keith and Connie Ord, who were involved in minor baseball for many years; The Gunson family has also contributed to minor ball and other community initiatives in the area; Douglas Gilmour and Evelyn Winer, who have worked for many years to build the community spirit of Puslinch Township; John Gilmour has been a frequent volunteer who, through his work with LACAC ((Local Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee), worked hard to ensure heritage homes in Puslinch were appropriately recognized. Stephen Gilmour, who volunteers often at Aberfoyle Fall Fair and other community events; Barb and Bob Jefferson are also local descendants who contribute to the fabric of Puslinch Township.

I hope you will consider erecting a sign recognizing the historical contributions of the Black and Ord families to Puslinch history on the Wayne Stockely Recreational Path. I know it would mean a lot to my father, John Gilmour, and the other descendants of the Black/Ord families.

Sincerely,



Andrea Norton
randy.norton@sympatico.ca