

On page 3 of bylaw 31/12 under Application Requirements subsection 9) it says "Proof from an accredited laboratory that any fill being imported to the site complies with the clean fill parameters as set out in Table 1 of the Ontario Regulation 153/04 as amended".

Report PD-2015-003 issued by the Chief Building Official on February 4, 2015, "NO PROOF"

Report PD-2015-005 issued by the Chief Building Official on February 26, 2015, "NO PROOF".

Report PD-2015-007 issued by the Chief Building Official on April 15, 2015, "NO PROOF".

No proof is NO PROOF

On page 3 of Report PD-2015-007, the Chief Building Official is quoted as saying "The site alteration bylaw does not specify minimum sampling requirements", which is correct. This infers that the CBO cannot ask for samples to be taken because that is not a requirement of bylaw 31/12. However, on page 6 of bylaw 31/12 under Terms And Conditions, it says "The Chief Building Official may impose terms and conditions upon the issuance of any permit", hence, he could impose a sampling plan. I would call that **DILIGENCE.**

On page 3 of bylaw 31/12 under APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS, subsection 7), the CBO could have, and maybe, should have, asked for an "environmental impact assessment" report. I would call that **DILIGENCE.**

What I see here is a well intended by-law not being implemented with the diligence that it requires. For all the reasons given by myself, other delegations and the citizens of Puslinch, I am asking council **to not approve** this application. Thank you.