



November 24, 2021 Regular Council Meeting

November 24, 2021

Addition to the Consent Agenda Item 6.1.5 Questions received from Council seeking additional information and the corresponding responses provided by staff regarding the November 24, 2021 Council agenda items.

9.2.3 Report FIN-2021-040 Community Improvement Execution of Financial Assistance Agreement with 2767374 Ontario Inc - BL2021-061 makes a reference to no budget impact; what is intended by this statement given that \$5k will be spent albeit within budget?

The by-law is referencing that should there be a required amendment to the Financial Assistance Agreement that has no budgetary impact, that the Clerk is authorized to sign off on the amendment rather than going to Council for Council's approval again for minor amendments with no budgetary impacts.

P.4 of report re "The County will disburse \$10,000 of funds to the Township after the applicant has completed the Community Improvement Works in accordance with the fully executed Financial Assistance Agreement. The Township then redistributes these funds to"; the request is for \$5k but this statement indicates \$10k. What accounts for the difference?

The Township has approved \$5K from its budget under the Township's CIP and the County of Wellington has approved an additional \$10K under their Invest MORE Program. The total amount that the recipient will receive is \$15K. The County will be distributing the \$10K of funds under their Invest MORE Program to the Township to then redistribute to the applicant upon project completion. The County is not able to distribute the funds directly to the applicant in accordance with legislation.

9.3.1 Report ADM-2021-075 – Sideroad 10 Parking Update



-p.109 re “Staff recommend that additional parking at trailheads be investigated and considered when a road is scheduled for reconstruction based on the capital schedule.”; what is staff’s proposal to do this?

When a road is scheduled for reconstruction in the Township’s asset management plan, a checklist will be in place to determine what design features need to be considered. This checklist will be finalized as part of the Roads Management Plan. At the start of a particular road project, the questions/checklist will be reviewed with Council. The need/options for parking at trailheads will be reviewed at that time, as will opportunities to partner with the related CA for parking spaces on their lands.

P.109 the majority of tickets are on Conc.7, WR32, Arkell Rd.; are there specific areas of these roads where most of the tickets are issued? If so where?

The Township parking by-law indicates the restriction on Concession 7 as follows:

*Concession 7 Gore Rd A point 600 meters north Both Sides
Concession 7 McLean Rd. Concession 2A West side*

Wellington Rd 32 is included in the County Parking By-law which is not readily available online. Staff can follow up and request this information. Arkell Rd is listed in the Township by-law, although it is a County road, as follows:

Arkell Rd Starkey Hill Conservation Area entrance A point 500 meters south west Both sides

Arkell Rd Starkey Hill Conservation Area entrance A point 500 meters north east Both Sides

Staff are not able to narrow down the locations further than this.

9.3.2 Report ADM-2021-076 – Advertisement, Communication and Media Relations Policy – Second Draft

-will there be training provided for committee members?

Yes, training will be provided to Council, Committees and staff.



7.1 Rink Board Advertising

7.1.1 Rink Board advertising is available for a term of one year only.

Does this mean that Rink Board advertising must be removed on an annual basis or just invoiced on an annual basis?

The existing application for board advertising asks the applicant to identify if this is a new ad or a renewal. If it is a renewal, an invoice would be sent and the ad could remain in place.

10.4 Township comments on the Guelph Water Supply Master Plan

- have we received any correspondence from Guelph City Council related to our previous resolutions on this item?

Guelph acknowledged our comments in a follow up meeting and they agreed to work more closely in the future and engage earlier on in the project. A meeting is being scheduled between staff and consultants for early December.

-Kyle Davis's report identifies a report prepared by Burnside on behalf of Guelph Eramosa; can this be provided in advance of the meeting?

The Burnside report was prepared on behalf of Guelph / Eramosa. At this point, it has not been sent to Guelph / Eramosa Council so it would be premature to provide to Puslinch Council. It will form part of the package being sent to the City of Guelph and I would expect it would be widely available at that point.

-What can we do as Council to support pursuing this matter?

Staff appreciates the Council support and would respectfully suggest to continue your advocacy of this issue with City of Guelph Council and senior staff. When opportunities arise, participation by Council members in City of Guelph led public meetings or Community Liaison Groups is also very helpful.

12.1.1 Township of Puslinch Draft Site Alteration By-law

-is the intent to hold a public meeting prior to adoption?



Public engagement can absolutely be included in the process prior to adoption of the final Site Alteration By-law. This can include a public meeting, social media, and other online consultation.