



June 12, 2024 Regular Council Meeting

June 12, 2024

Addition to the Agenda Questions received from Council seeking additional information and the corresponding responses provided by staff regarding the June 12, 2024 Council agenda items.

6.8 Minister of Citizenship and Multiculturalism Letter regarding changes made to Ontario Heritage Act

-good news to extend to Jan. 2027; do this mean we can now get all named properties designated? **This is good news. Staff will bring forward a report during the 2025 budget process outlining the next steps and the budget/resources required to work through the remaining properties.**

6.6 Enbridge Gas Inc. update related to Enbridge's Rate Rebasing Application and on Ontario's Keeping Energy Costs Down Act

-doesn't quite address our situation ie. new infrastructure to service rural properties; any feedback on new infrastructure to service our rural properties? **Staff have requested a response from Enbridge and will report back to Council at a future meeting.**

6.7 Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing update related to municipal development-related charge (MDRC) exemptions

- re "Community benefits charges on a development cannot exceed 4% of the value of the land. [Single-tier and lower-tier municipalities](#) can levy these charges for developments with 10 or more residential units and five or more storeys."; what is meant by value of the land ie. before rezoning or after? Also does this apply to commercial and industrial properties? **Staff requested a response from the MMAH and will report back to Council at a future meeting.**

6.9 Office of the Solicitor General Letter regarding Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019

-letter suggests map is attached but none attached. Only of interest if Puslinch is on map – **Staff received the following response from Ministry of the Solicitor General: Maps are available for detachments that have multiple boards for the same detachment. The Township of Puslinch falls within the catchment of the Wellington OPP detachment board which is a single board**



detachment. As a result, there is no existing map at this time. The boundaries for the Wellington OPP detachment would be for the entire OPP detachment.

6.13 Township of Alnwick Haldimand resolution regarding MFIPPA Modernization

-do staff agree with MFIPPA Modernization and if so will move motion to support? **Staff are very supportive of the request for modernization of the MFIPPA legislation for many of the same reasons outlined in the Township of Alnwick Haldimand resolution.**

6.11 Lake of Bays Letter resolution regarding Royal Assent of Administrative Monetary Penalty System in the Building Code Act

-can staff clarify how POA is currently used in Puslinch and whether it would be beneficial for Building Code matters? **The Township utilizes the POA for By-law Enforcement for Part 1 Offences (fines) Part 2 Offences (parking tickets) and Part 3 Offences (Orders for more serious offences). The Building department currently does not have short form wording in order to issue Part 1 fines and relies on Part 3 Orders. Staff are supportive of an AMPS program in general, and are actively looking at how this could replace the existing Part 1 & 2 penalty structure in the Township.**

Consent 6.17 Watson and Associates Assessment of Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 - DCs

Given that studies are now being allowed as part of DCs can we retroactively charge any studies to DCs? If so what studies can we charge back to DCs? Do we need to amend the bylaw first? **Our 2019 DC by-law included studies. Our 2024 DC by-law (which will be passed in September 2024 due to the 2019 by-law's upcoming expiration) will include studies given the recent changes through Bill 185. Therefore, there is no need to retroactively charge any studies to DCs or to amend our 2019 DC by-law. The timing of Bill 185 receiving royal assent worked well with the Township's timelines for requiring a new DC study. The types of studies that are DC eligible include any studies that have a growth component (ie. Master Fire Plan, Roads Management Plan, Recreation and Parks Master Plan, etc.).**

Mandatory Phase In - Did our bylaw include a mandatory phase in? **No our 2019 by-law did not include the mandatory phase in, therefore, this did not impact the Township.**

9.1.1 Report FIR-2024-004 Final Draft Community Risk Assessment

-p. 89 the risk "The road network predominately Hwy 401 bisecting the township, is a contributor to emergency call volume due to motor vehicle collisions and vehicle fires." is similar to the risk "There were 658 emergency calls responded to between 2018 and 2022



pertain to motor-vehicle related incidents, this represents 96.5% of rescue calls and approximately 32.2% of all calls responded to by PFRS during that period.”; why shouldn’t they be combined into one risk?

-p.96 to p. 99 under the column Economic Incentives why is there a No for “Building Stock and Fire Loss and Event History” and “Building Stock” but there is a Yes under the category “Past Loss & Event History” for Group C and Group F.

-similarly why is there a No under “Past Loss & Event History” for Group A Assembly - **Staff have provided the questions to the consultant working on the CRA and will have a response for Council at the July 10, 2024 meeting when they present to Council on the study.**