



**September 3, 2024 Special Council Meeting**

September 3, 2024

**Addition to the Agenda Questions received from Council seeking additional information and the corresponding responses provided by staff regarding the September 3, 2024 Council agenda items.**

6.9 AMO OMA Joint Health Resolution Campaign for the Province to recognize the physician shortage in Ontario and 6.10 to 6.16

-will move the suggested motions in Appendix A of 6.9

**Staff will have a draft motion prepared accordingly.**

6.17 Municipal Engineer Association letter to Ontario Municipalities regarding Ontario Public Standards

-do we ask our engineering consultants to use OPS

**Staff suggest that the consent item be forwarded to the Township Engineer for comment and report back to Council.**

6.18 Letter from Associate Minister Jones regarding opportunities to modernize the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act

-due Aug. 26; do we know what the County submitted?

**Staff have forwarded to Emergency Management Staff at the County and will report back to Council.**

6.27 City of Quinte West Council resolution regarding The Canada Community Building Fund

-do we have a similar concern?

**Staff have reviewed the resolution from the City of Quinte West Council and recommend a support resolution be forwarded.**

6.30 Federal Funding Opportunity - New Horizons for Seniors Program



-suggest make info. available Badenoch, Duff's Church

Staff proactively send these types of opportunities to eligible community groups including Duff's Church and Badenoch. Staff also share these opportunities with the community at large on the Township social platforms.

#### 9.2.1 Report FIN-2024-022 Rural Economic Development Program Agreement ≠

- who will be managing the work This project will be a collaboration between the Clerks team and the Finance team (finance will assist with any financial components – ie. procurement, Community Improvement Plan financial incentive programs, budget implications, etc.).

-please provide a breakdown of funding sources other than RED ie. for County and Township This was outlined in the financial implications section of the report (ie. \$50K County funding and \$49.5K RED funding). The remainder of the funding is existing Township staff resources managing the project.

#### Report 9.2.2

Report does not recommend establishing different DC rates based on the amount of bedrooms due to concerns of building permit applications being skewed (ie. Showing bedrooms as offices or rec rooms on plans) in order to reduce development charges - this is a valid concern. Would it be feasible to establish 2 DC rates based on square footage instead? For example a home below 2500sq. Ft and over 2,500sq.FT? This would be more difficult to skew but may assist and encourage smaller and theoretically more affordable homes? This question has been forwarded to Nancy Neale from Watson & Associates.

#### Report 9.3.3

Report is recommending pre-budget approval of \$2,600 from Heritage Incentive Reserve for peer review of statements of cultural value. Are there any alternative reserves that this money could come from? It would be ideal if we could incrementally increase the balance in the incentive reserve to provide some township grant opportunities for owners of properties that have been designated to maintain and restore heritage features. By using the reserve for peer reviews, it reduces funds available for what the reserve was intended to provide. The other option is to utilize the legal contingency discretionary reserve or to offset from a potential 2024 operating budget surplus.